

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/068,119	PENA-MORA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas H. Stevens	2121	

All Participants:

(1) Thomas H. Stevens.

(2) Kermit Robinson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 September 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

112;102(b)

Claims discussed:

2-4,6,17,8-23

Prior art documents discussed:


Pollalis

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Clear the distinction between the first and second activities relative to the prior art.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)